



This is the print version of the [Skeptical Science](http://sks.to/residence) article '[CO2 has a short residence time](http://sks.to/residence)', which can be found at <http://sks.to/residence>.

# CO2 emissions change our atmosphere for centuries

## What The Science Says:

Individual carbon dioxide molecules have a short life time of around 5 years in the atmosphere. However, when they leave the atmosphere, they're simply swapping places with carbon dioxide in the ocean. The final amount of extra CO2 that remains in the atmosphere stays there on a time scale of centuries.

## Climate Myth: CO2 has a short residence time

"[T]he overwhelming majority of peer-reviewed studies [find] that CO2 in the atmosphere remained there a short time." ([Lawrence Solomon](#))


The claim goes like this:

- (A) Predictions for the Global Warming Potential (GWP) by the IPCC express the warming effect CO2 has over several time scales; 20, 100 and 500 years.
- (B) But CO2 has only a 5 year life time in the atmosphere.
- (C) Therefore CO2 cannot cause the long term warming predicted by the IPCC.

This claim is false. (A) is true. (B) is also true. But B is irrelevant and misleading so it does not follow that C is therefore true.

The claim hinges on what life time means. To understand this, we have to first understand what a box model is: In an environmental context, systems are often described by simplified box models. A simple example (from school days) of the water cycle would have just 3 boxes: clouds, rivers, and the ocean.

A representation of the carbon cycle (ignore the numbers for now) would look like [this one from NASA](#).



In the [IPCC 4th Assessment Report glossary](#), "lifetime" has several related meanings. The most relevant one

is:

“Turnover time (T) (also called global atmospheric lifetime) is the ratio of the mass M of a reservoir (e.g., a gaseous compound in the atmosphere) and the total rate of removal S from the reservoir:  $T = M / S$ . For each removal process, separate turnover times can be defined. In soil carbon biology, this is referred to as Mean Residence Time.”

In other words, life time is the average time an individual particle spends in a given box. It is calculated as the size of box (reservoir) divided by the overall rate of flow into (or out of) a box. The [IPCC Third Assessment Report 4.1.4](#) gives more details.

In the carbon cycle diagram above, there are two sets of numbers. The black numbers are the size, in gigatonnes of carbon (GtC), of the box. The purple numbers are the fluxes (or rate of flow) to and from a box in gigatonnes of carbon per year (Gt/y).

A little quick counting shows that about 200 Gt C leaves and enters the atmosphere each year. As a first approximation then, given the reservoir size of 750 Gt, we can work out that the residence time of a given molecule of CO<sub>2</sub> is  $750 \text{ Gt C} / 200 \text{ Gt C y}^{-1} = \text{about } 3\text{-}4 \text{ years}$ . (However, careful counting up of the sources (supply) and sinks (removal) shows that there is a net imbalance; carbon in the atmosphere is increasing by about 3.3 Gt per year).

It is true that an individual molecule of CO<sub>2</sub> has a short residence time in the atmosphere. However, in most cases when a molecule of CO<sub>2</sub> leaves the atmosphere it is simply swapping places with one in the ocean. Thus, the warming potential of CO<sub>2</sub> has very little to do with the residence time of individual CO<sub>2</sub> molecules in the atmosphere.

What really governs the warming potential is how long the extra CO<sub>2</sub> remains in the atmosphere. CO<sub>2</sub> is essentially chemically inert in the atmosphere and is only removed by biological uptake and by [dissolving into the ocean](#). Biological uptake (with the exception of fossil fuel formation) is carbon neutral: Every tree that grows will eventually die and decompose, thereby releasing CO<sub>2</sub>. (Yes, there are maybe some gains to be made from reforestation but they are probably minor compared to fossil fuel releases).

Dissolution of CO<sub>2</sub> into the oceans is fast but the problem is that the top of the ocean is “getting full” and the bottleneck is thus the transfer of carbon from surface waters to the deep ocean. This transfer largely occurs by the slow ocean basin circulation and turn over (\*3). This turnover takes 500-1000ish years. Therefore a time scale for CO<sub>2</sub> warming potential out as far as 500 years is entirely reasonable (See [IPCC 4th Assessment Report Section 2.10](#)).

Intermediate rebuttal written by Doug Mackie

---

### Update July 2015:

Here is the relevant lecture-video from [Denial101x - Making Sense of Climate Science Denial](#)

[see video at [this link](#).]



The Skeptical Science website by [Skeptical Science](#) is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License](#).

 **Skeptical Science.com**



Skeptical Science explains the science of global warming and examines climate misinformation through the lens of peer-reviewed research. The website won the Australian Museum 2011 Eureka Prize for the Advancement of Climate Change Knowledge. Members of the Skeptical Science team have authored peer-reviewed papers, a [college textbook on climate change](#) and the book [Climate Change Denial: Heads in the Sand](#). Skeptical Science content has been used in university courses, textbooks, government reports on climate change, television documentaries and numerous books.



The [Skeptical Science](#) website by Skeptical Science is licensed under a Creative Commons [Attribution 3.0 Unported License](#).