

This is the print version of the Skeptical Science article 'CO2 is not a pollutant', which can be found at http://sks.to/pollutant.

## Is CO2 a pollutant?

## What The Science Says:

While there are direct ways in which CO<sub>2</sub> is a pollutant (acidification of the ocean), its primary impact is its greenhouse warming effect. While the greenhouse effect is a natural occurrence, too much warming has severe negative impacts on agriculture, health and environment.

## Climate Myth: CO2 is not a pollutant

'To suddenly label CO2 as a "pollutant" is a disservice to a gas that has played an enormous role in the development and sustainability of all life on this wonderful Earth. Mother Earth has clearly ruled that CO2 is not a pollutant.' (Robert Balling, as quoted by Popular Technology)

We commonly think of pollutants as contaminants that make the environment dirty or impure. A vivid example is sulphur dioxide, a by-product of industrial activity. High levels of sulphur dioxide cause breathing problems. Too much causes acid rain. Sulphur dioxide has a direct effect on health and the environment. Carbon dioxide, on the other hand, is a naturally occurring gas that existed in the atmosphere long before humans. Plants need it to survive. The CO<sub>2</sub> greenhouse effect keeps our climate from freezing over. How can CO<sub>2</sub> be considered a pollutant?

A broader definition of pollutant is a substance that causes instability or discomfort to an ecosystem. Over the past 10,000 years, the level of atmospheric carbon dioxide in the atmosphere has remained at relatively stable levels. However, human  $CO_2$  emissions over the past few centuries have upset this balance. The increase in  $CO_2$  has some direct effects on the environment. For example, as the oceans absorb CQ from the atmosphere, it leads to acidification that affects many marine ecosystems. However, the chief impact from rising  $CO_2$  is warmer temperatures.



Figure 1: CO<sub>2</sub> levels (parts per million) over the past 10,000 years. Blue line from Taylor Dome ice cores (<u>NOAA</u>). Green line from Law Dome ice core <u>CDIAC</u>). Red line from direct measurements at Mauna Loa, Hawaii (<u>NOAA</u>).

Rising CO<sub>2</sub> levels causes an <u>enhanced greenhouse effect</u>. This leads to warmer temperatures which has many consequences. Some effects are beneficial such as improved agriculture at high latitudes and increased vegetation growth in some circumstances. However, <u>the negatives far outweigh the positives</u>

Coast-bound communities are threatened by <u>rising sea levels</u>. Melting glaciers threaten the <u>water supplies of</u> <u>hundreds of millions</u>. Species are <u>becoming extinct at the fastest rate in history</u>

How we choose to define the word 'pollutant' is a play in semantics. To focus on a few positive effects of carbon dioxide is to ignore the broader picture of its full impacts. The net result from increasing  $CO_2$  are severe negative impacts on our environment and the living conditions of future humanity.

Intermediate rebuttal written by John Cook



The Skeptical Science website by <u>Skeptical Science</u> is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License</u>. Skeptical Science.com



Skeptical Science explains the science of global warming and examines climate misinformation through the lens of peer-reviewed research. The website won the Australian Museum 2011 Eureka Prize for the Advancement of Climate Change Knowledge. Members of the Skeptical Science team have authored peer-reviewed papers, a <u>college textbook on climate change</u> and the book <u>Climate Change Denial: Heads in the Sand</u>. Skeptical Science content has been used in university courses, textbooks, government reports on climate change, television documentaries and numerous books.



The <u>Skeptical Science</u> website by Skeptical Science is licensed under a Creative Commons <u>Attribution 3.0 Unported</u> <u>License.</u>